

12 April 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

THROUGH: Acting DD/I *13/4*

SUBJECT: ACDA Request to Participate in the Deliberations of
JAEIC and GMAIC Working Committees

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation for action in paragraph seven.

2. On February 8th, the Director, ACDA, wrote the DCI, stating that he wanted to call on the DCI to discuss certain matters. One of these was the question of whether selected individuals from ACDA "might participate, of course without vote, in working committees of JAEIC and GMAIC."

3. In a memorandum to the Director, dated 13 March, the undersigned recommended that the Director,

"authorize selected individuals from ACDA, to participate informally in the deliberations of JAEIC and GMAIC working committees. This participation should be limited to one person per meeting and to subjects on which ACDA has a need to know. The need to know would be determined by the Department of State representative to the two committees with the approval of the Chairman."

The DD/I concurred in this recommendation and it was also approved by you.

4. At its 20 March meeting the USIB directed that representatives of CIA and DIA jointly prepare a unified position on the subject. The undersigned was designated to represent CIA and to represent DIA.

STAT
(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

STAT

5. [] advised me on 10 April, that DIA had arrived at its position on this matter. This position is that ACDA personnel should not be permitted to participate in the deliberations of JAEIC and GMAIC working groups, on the grounds that consumers should as a matter of principle not be admitted to the deliberations of intelligence bodies and that doing so here would establish a precedent for the future.

6. DIA appears to hold the position described above quite strongly. The question then becomes whether CIA wishes to adhere to its original view in the face of strong DIA opposition or go along with DIA.

7. It is recommended that CIA go along with the DIA position. I still believe that the Intelligence Community could have accommodated ACDA on this matter, particularly if ACDA attendance at meetings was properly controlled. Nonetheless, I do not believe that either you or the Director should be asked to persevere in the face of strong opposition by a USIB member. This is especially so since the original CIA position was based not on a positive desire to have ACDA participate, but because we wished to be helpful and avoid being negative if at all possible. This refusal need not become a precedent for NASA, since NASA, unlike ACDA, is not only a consumer but a producer, with something to contribute to working committees.



STAT

CONCUR:

Approved

Harshen S. Carter

17 APR 1963

Lieutenant General, USA
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

108 54 4 00 PM '63

108 15 10 00 PM '63

108 12 3 00 PM '63